

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,589 02/03/2004		Shiping Ma	GEPL.P-085	3810	
21121 75	590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER		
OPPEDAHL AND LARSON LLP			SZEKELY, PETER A		
P O BOX 5068			Language T		
DILLON, CO	80435-5068	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/771,589	MA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
			Peter Szekely	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2/3/04</u> .							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition t	<i>'</i> —		rosecution as to the	e merits is			
-/-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-75 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ acce	pted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	l(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 or I r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/16/04,7/23/04,6/</u> 27/05	- 10/2B/08)	6) Other:	. Lionit philosophi (i 1	·			

Application/Control Number: 10/771,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 15, 24, 42, 47, 58 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The term "thin walled" in claim 73 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "thin walled" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Numerical definition is required.
- 4. Claims 1, 6, 15, 24, 42, 47 and 58 contain improper Markush language and claims 6, 15, 24, 57 and 58 are rendered indefinite by the phrase "rubber like polymer".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as being anticipated by Hoover 6,072,011, Hoover 6,657,018, Mitsuta et al. 2002/0193476, Venderbosch et al. 2003/0181603, Marugan et al. 2005/0085580 or Venderbosch et al. 2006/0014919.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,589

Art Unit: 1714

7. Hoover ('011) discloses copolymers in claim 1 and siloxane concentration, sulfonate flame-retardants and PTFE in claim 6, fillers in the paragraph overlapping columns 4 and 5, copolymer, another polymer, sulfonate, PTFE, polycarbonate and titanium dioxide mineral filler with their concentrations in Examples 1 and 2. Hoover ('018) teaches copolymer, siloxane concentration, sulfonate flame-retardant and PTFE in claims 6-9, another polymer in claim 12, Fillers in the paragraph overlapping columns 4 and 5, sulfonate concentration in column 5, lines 22-23 and concentrations in Examples 1 and 2. Mitsuta et al. recite polycarbonate and filler in claim 1, talc in claim 2, flame-retardant in claim 5, phosphates in claim 6, another polymer in claim 7, PTFE in claim 9, linear and branched PC in paragraph 0028, copolymer and siloxane content in paragraphs 0030-0031, phosphate compounds in paragraph 0041, ABS in paragraph 0048, wall thicknesses in paragraph 0116 and PC/PDMS blends in Table 1. Venderbosch et al. ('603) divulge polycarbonate and another resin in claim 1, blends of PC/PDMS in claim 7, ABS in claim 8, phosphate esters in claim 6, blends of lines and branched polycarbonates in paragraph 0019, siloxane content in paragraph 0024, phosphate concentration in paragraph 0061, PTFE and its concentration in paragraph 0072 and talc in paragraph 0075. Marugan et al. reveal polycarbonate, polycarbonatesiloxane copolymer and titanium dioxide mineral filler in claims 1-3, ABS in claims 5-6, PTFE in claims 7-8, flame-retardants in claims 9-15,PTFE concentration in paragraph 0048, clay, talc and wollastonite in paragraph 0052 and phosphate concentration in Tables 2, 4 and 6. Venderbosch et al. ('919) display PC/PDMS copolymer and siloxane concentration in claims 1-4, ABS in claim 10, phosphates in paragraph 0051, their

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/771,589 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

concentration in paragraph 0059, PTFE and its concentration in paragraph 0061-0062, talc and its concentration in paragraph 0092-0093 and PC/PDMS blends in the Tables. All properties are inherent in the composition. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 1-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoover 6,072,011, Hoover 6,657,018, Mitsuta et al. 2002/0193476, Venderbosch et al. 2003/0181603, Marugan et al. 2005/0085580 or Venderbosch et al. 2006/0014919.
- 11. All references have been described already. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to select applicants' ingredients from a list of equivalents and to use the resulting compositions for molding

Art Unit: 1714

thin walled objects, since Mitsuta et al. show a wide range of thicknesses molded from their composition. All properties are inherent in the composition.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 4/14/06